

Minimum Operating Standards

PROTECTION FROM SEXUAL EXPLOITATION AND ABUSE BY OWN PERSONNEL

IASC Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse Task Force Members

2012



Minimum Operating Standards

Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse by own Personnel (MOS-PSEA)¹

To provide protection from sexual exploitation and abuse (PSEA) by own personnel the compliance with a set of Minimum Operating Standards for PSEA (MOS-PSEA) is required. The MOS-PSEA are modelled after the well-known Minimum Operating Security Standards for Staff Safety (or MOSS) compliance mechanism, which is mandatory for the UN System to ensure there is a common set of requirements that all agencies follow in order to ensure staff safety.

What are the MOS-PSEA?

The MOS-PSEA are based on:

- 1. The Statement of Commitment on Eliminating Sexual Exploitation and Abuse by UN and Non-UN Personnel, August 2008
- 2. The Global Review of protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse by UN, NGO, IOM and IFRC Personnel, July 2010
- 3. IASC Six Core Principles Relating to Sexual Exploitation and Abuse, June 2002

What are the key elements of the MOS-PSEA?

The four pillars of the current PSEA work provide the framework for the mechanism. These are:

- 1. Management and coordination: Effective policy development and implementation; Cooperative arrangements; Dedicated department / focal point committed to PSEA.
- 2. Engagement with and support of local community population: Effective and comprehensive communication from HQ to the field on (a) what to do regarding raising beneficiary awareness on PSEA and (b) how to establish effective community-based complaints mechanisms.
- 3. **Prevention:** Effective and comprehensive mechanisms to ensure awareness-raising on SEA amongst personnel; effective recruitment and performance management.
- 4. Response: Internal complaints and investigation procedures are in place.

Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC) secretariat

¹ These MOS have been discussed among IASC PSEA TaFo Members; the document is work in progress and reflects the status of the Task Forces' current thinking.

	PSEA Minimum Operating Standards	Ke	ey Indicators
1	Effective Policy Development and	•	A policy stating standards of conduct, including acts of SEA, exists and a
	Implementation		work plan to implement the policy is in place.
		•	The policy/standards of conduct have been conveyed to current staff and
			senior management (at HQ and field level) <u>on repeated occasions (such</u>
			as inductions and refresher trainings).
2	Cooperative Arrangements	•	SG's Bulletin (ST/SGB/2003/13) or respective codes of conduct are
			included in general contract conditions.
		•	Procedures are in place to receive written agreement from entities or
			individuals entering into cooperative arrangements with the agency that
			they are aware of and will abide by the standards of the PSEA policy.
3	A dedicated department/focal point is	•	A dedicated department/focal point have the overall
	committed to PSEA		responsibility for the development and implementation of PSEA
			policy and activities.
		•	The responsible department/focal point is required to regularly report
			to senior management on its progress on PSEA through the Senior
			Focal Point on PSEA.
		•	Staff members dealing with PSEA have formalised responsibility for PSEA in
			their job description, performance appraisal or similar.
		•	They have received systematised training on PSEA and the time
			$committed \ to \ PSEA \ is \ commensurate \ with \ the \ scale \ of \ implementation$
			required under the current situation of the organization.

4	Effective and comprehensive	•	The HQ has communicated in detail the expectations regarding
	communication from HQ to the field on		beneficiary awareness raising efforts on PSEA (including
	expectations regarding raising beneficiary		information on the organization's standards of conduct and
	awareness on PSEA		reporting mechanism).
		•	The HQ has distributed examples of awareness raising tools and
			materials to be used for beneficiary awareness raising activities.
5	Effective community based complaints	•	The HQurges its field offices to participate in community based
	mechanisms (CBCM), including victim		complaint mechanisms that are jointly developed and
	assistance.		implemented by the aid community adapted to the specific
			locations.
		•	There is guidance provided to the field on how to design the CBCM
			to ensure it is adapted to the cultural context with focus on
			community participation.
		•	There is a mechanism for monitoring and review of the complaint
			mechanism.
		•	The organization has written guidance on the provision of victim
			assistance.

6	Effective recruitment and performance	•	The organization makes sure that all candidates are required to sign
	management		the code of conduct before being offered a contract.
		•	Each organization commits to improving its system of reference
			checking and vetting for former misconduct.
		•	Supervision and performance appraisals include adherence to
			participation in Code of Conduct trainings (or similar) that includes
			PSEA.
		•	Performance appraisals for Senior Management include the adherence
			to create and maintain an environment which prevents sexual
			exploitation and abuse and promotes the implementation of the
			ST/SGB/2003/13 or code of conduct.
7	Effective and comprehensive mechanisms	•	Staff receives annual refresher training on the standards of conduct,
	are established to ensure awareness-		learn about the mechanism to file complaints and reports of
	raising on SEA amongst personnel		misconduct and the implications of breaching these standards.
		•	Training on misconduct (specifically mentioning SEA) forms part of
			the induction process.
		•	Staff members are aware of their obligation to report
			SEA/misconduct and are aware that there is a policy for
			Protection from retaliation in place.

8	Internal complaints and investigation	•	Written procedures on complaints / reports handling from staff
	procedures in place		members or beneficiaries are in place.
		•	Staff members are informed on a regular basis of how to file a
			complaint/report and the procedures for handling these.
		•	Standard investigation operating procedures or equivalent issued and
			used to guide investigation practice.
		•	Investigations are undertaken by experienced and qualified
			professionals who are also trained on sensitive investigations such as
			allegations of SEA.
		•	Investigations are commenced within 3 months and information about
			out-come is shared with the complainant.
		•	Substantiated complaints have resulted in either disciplinary action or
			contractual consequences and, if not, the entity is able to justify
			why not.